

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,296	06/02/2005	Manuela Guglielmo	5002-1074	8261	
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 11/09/200 HOMPSON	9	EXAMINER		
209 Madison S		GULLEDGE, BRIAN M			
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1612			1612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2009	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/537,296	GUGLIELMO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian Gulledge	1612	

		Brian Gulledge	1612	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE RI	EPLY FILED 26 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
a a fo	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C eriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).		
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
fil N	ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	<u>OMENTS</u>			
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause
	They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(0	d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
•	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 1	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🛛 /	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	See Continuation Sheet.		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
h h	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e.	xplanation of
С	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
e	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing on ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>SST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
_	Other:			
/Fred	lerick Krass/	/Brian Gulledge/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612

Examiner, Art Unit 1612

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 11-14 under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph (new matter) is withdrawn, as the subject matter as claimed is supported by the originally filed disclosure.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that the claims are patentable over the prior art references in view of the results and opinions provided in the declarations filed under 37 CFR 1.132 are most at this time due to non-entry of the declarations.